

**Neighborhood Council Valley Village
Board Meeting
01-28-04**

Draft

Meeting was called to order by NCVV Board President Peter Sanchez at 6:35 pm.

Board members in attendance were: Mr. Tony Braswell (renter), Ms. Debra "DJ" Harner (cultural organization), Ms. Ginny Hatfield (community senior organization), Mr. Paul Hatfield (at large), Ms. Jody Hidey (residential homeowner), Ms. Ann Hull (business), Mr. Walter Katz (residential renter), Mr. Tom Paterson (residential renter), Mr. Chris Pechin (residential homeowner), Mr. Nick Pool (business), Ms. Breice Reiner (community service organization), Mr. Peter Sanchez (residential homeowner), Dr. Daniel Wiseman (faith based).

Board members absent were Mr. Stuart Simen (business), Mr. Sean Jasso (education).

Agenda Item 1 – Call to Order, Roll Call and Welcoming Remarks. Mr. Sanchez welcomed the Board members, and the public in attendance, and thanked them all for their participation in the meeting. He recognized the first full meeting of the Board, and the commented on the demands of the evening's full agenda, and asked that all participants remain aware of the time constraints necessary to complete the agenda. Mr. Sanchez asked the secretary to conduct a roll call. All members were present except for Ms. Harner, Mr. Simen, Mr. Jasso. Mr. Sanchez informed the Board that Mr. Simen and Mr. Jasso were excused absences, and Ms. Harner would be late due to an unexpected family illness. (secretary's note: Ms. Harner arrived and joined the meeting at 7:50 pm).

Mr. Sanchez thanked the Board for their prompt arrival at the evening's meeting. Mr. Sanchez introduced the officers of the NCVV Board, and reintroduced the Board by their elected affiliation.

Agenda Item 2 – Approval of Minutes. The minutes of the December meeting were mailed to the Board, and copies were available for the public at the January meeting. A motion was made by Ms. Reiner to accept the minutes, and seconded by Ms. Hull. The minutes were approved by a vote of 12-0.

Agenda Item 3 – President's Comments, Introduction of Distinguished Guests. Mr. Sanchez thanked all in attendance, noting a large turnout of public for the meeting. He advised the members of the audience that speaker cards were available for anyone who desired to speak to an agenda item, and that there would be additional time for other comments at the end of the agenda.

Mr. Sanchez introduced Fortuna Ippoliti from Councilman Jack Weiss' office.

Agenda Item 4 – Report from the Office of Councilman Jack Weiss. Ms. Ippoliti thanked all in attendance and extended election congratulations of Mr. Weiss' office to

the members of the Board. Ms. Ippoliti also congratulated Valley Village on their certification. She encouraged the Board and community members present to pick up a guide to city services that she brought to the meeting. She reminded the Board of the boundaries of Councilman Weiss' district within Valley Village, and stated that she would be attending every-other meeting of the NCVV Board.

Agenda Item 3 – President's Comments, Introduction of Distinguished Guests. Mr. Sanchez introduced John Bwarie from Mayor Hahn's office. Mr. Bwarie thanked the Board for their work and briefly discussed the current budget process of the City. Mr. Sanchez introduced Manny Arreygue, Senior Lead Officer from the North Hollywood Police Station, and reminded the Board that he would be making a full presentation later. Officer Arreygue thanked the Board for the opportunity to be at the meeting, and briefly reviewed crime statistics, including:

For 2003, homicides in LA decreased 21.6%, in San Fernando Valley down 24%, in North Hollywood down 21.7%; total violent crime for LA decreased 6%, in San Fernando Valley decreased 4%, and in North Hollywood decreased 2.6%.

For all of Los Angeles County, the City of Los Angeles is the only city in 2003 with a reduction in crime. He stated that it remains a high priority for the Mayor and Police Chief to make Los Angeles the safest city in America and this is evidenced by the focus on safety in the new budget.

As many Board members had questions for Officer Arreygue, Mr. Sanchez asked that the meeting move to agenda item 5. There were no objections to the President's request.

Agenda Item 5 – Report From Senior Lead Officer North Hollywood, Manny Arreygue. After the overview of statistics and recent crime activity in Valley Village, Officer Arreygue entertained questions from the Board. Mr. Pechin thanked Officer Arreygue for his time and his statistical data, and asked how the Board might best disseminate information to the public related to reducing crime. In particular he spoke to the priorities of many of his neighbors to ask for additional street lighting, police patrols and protection against vandalism of cars. Officer Arreygue confirmed there had been a recent increase in vandalism of cars in Studio City and Thousand Oaks. Since January 1 the incidence had declined somewhat. Some of the perpetrators had been apprehended. Officer Arreygue reminded the Board and public multiple times of the importance of not leaving valuables visible in the seat of any vehicle. Further, he advised those living in apartment complexes to take special caution to ensure that the gates to their secured parking were closed fully before they exit their vehicle. If any apartment residents witness an intruder sneaking in while the gate is open they should call the police.

Mr. Paterson asked about recent gun shootings in the Valley Village area. Officer Arreygue said there were 2 recent shootings, one was related to road rage, one other near North Hollywood High School involved a "bb" gun.

Ms. Hatfield asked for further clarification on the statement of a recent “rash” of crime. Officer Arreygue stated that the increased statistics included crime outside the boundaries of Valley Village. Ms. Hatfield asked for clarification of the time frame under which the data was collected. Officer Arreygue explained that the data was collected over the past several months, and the arrests were in the past few weeks. It was important to note that the individuals arrested for specific burglaries were connected to other crimes, usually through fingerprints.

Ms. Hull asked for information on traffic accidents involving pedestrians. Mr. Sanchez suggested that the Board allow Officer Arreygue to complete his presentation and hold specific questions until the end. Officer Arreygue pointed out that although there had been an overall decrease of 7% in crime for the area in 2003, there had been an increase in vehicle burglary for the first of this new year. Most of the criminal activity has been centered in apartments around Magnolia and Whitsett. Mr. Pechin asked that in relation to the recent budget shortfall within the city what can neighborhoods do to better protect themselves? What would be “A, B, C” priorities for security? Mr. Katz stated that in his position of criminal defense for L.A. County he can verify that there is a limit to the actual protection against crime that can be provided through the police department. Mr. Katz stated that much of the apprehension of criminals is by chance, and the product of successful neighborhood watch. Officer Arreygue added that the police department has been aggressively conducting education, and trying to do “more with less”. They believe they can extend the effectiveness of the police protection through education.

Mr. Sanchez asked Officer Arreygue to explain how crime should be reported. There must be a report related to crimes. Many people don’t call and report crime committed against them or in their neighborhood. The data compiled from police reports builds “monthly maps” of criminal activity for police statistics. Based on these “maps” the police department allocates resources of personnel. It is very important to report crimes so that the statistics properly reflect the problem and resources can be dedicated appropriately.

Ms. Ippoliti encouraged an active neighborhood watch. This is a definite deterrent to crime and areas with successful neighborhood watch programs have indeed witnessed an improvement in statistics related to crime. She suggested a guest speaker (Gil Escontrias) for the Board at a future meeting who can advise on crime issues.

Mr. Paterson asked about parking – especially trucks in residential areas, stating that parking enforcement of existing law doesn’t seem to help. Officer Arreygue has been actively working on a project to enforce vehicle and parking codes. Comment was also given by Lori Dinkin, President of Valley Village Homeowners Association, related to illegal parking around Jon’s Market. Officer Arreygue gave statistics related to recent tickets written to vehicles parked in that area, especially commercial vehicles, and stated that many vehicles there had been impounded.

Dr. Wiseman thanked Officer Arreygue for his hard work and commented that Lead Officers are some of the finest public servants in the city, and in comment to a brief

discussion of the spread of criminal activity related to “gypsies” stated that there are criminal elements in every ethnic group in our communities and cautioned against categorizing crime to any particular group.

Ms. Reiner asked that the Board and public again be reminded of the importance of reporting crime – and requested that Officer Arreygue give his direct phone number. The number to reach Senior Lead Officer Manny Arreygue is 818-634-0829. Most calls are returned within 24 hours, but Board was advised to remember that all officers must have some off time on their schedule and in some instances calls may be returned over a greater time frame.

Mr. Katz asked for explanation of how the North Hollywood Police Department coordinates with High School Police? The Board was informed that police force routinely meets with the North Hollywood High School Police and other city representatives including City Council representatives.

Mr. Sanchez thanked Officer Arreygue for his time and his detailed presentation.

Mr. Sanchez introduced Lori Dinkin. Mr. Sanchez introduced Maricela Gomez from Councilwoman Wendy Gruel’s office. Ms. Gomez thanked all in attendance. She stated that City Council is working to maintain public services in current budget constraints. Issues related to homelessness are growing, and the community is actively being asked to help create more services. Ms. Gomez reviewed “Bring L.A. Home”, a 10 year plan to prevent and end homelessness in L.A. County. The County is currently in the first phase of the project, gathering input from members of the community. There will be a meeting related to this issue February 17 in the Tujunga office of the Councilwoman. On Saturday, February 7, the Department of Transportation will be identifying and moving abandoned vehicles, starting at 10am with a meeting at Laurel Canyon and Victory.

Mr. Sanchez thanked Ms. Gomez for her presentation.

Agenda Item 6 – MTA Presentation, Board and Public Comment. A presentation of the SFV Chandler Busway was given by Ms. Devon Brown. She announced the project has an official name, “The Orange Line”. Formerly the San Fernando Valley Metro Rapidway. An overview included statistics – 14 mile dedicated busway from North Hollywood Metro Station to Warner Center. 13 Stations, 5 Park/Ride lots. The entire project would be landscaped. Sound walls would be erected in areas where public property actually meets private property. Construction has already begun, including drainage system, fiber optic cabling, CCTV all in Valley Village. Project is working in coordination with LADOT.

Intersection construction will begin first week of February, including new materials for actual intersection (related to bus weight), crosswalks, light signals, drainage, and new left turn pockets along Chandler.

Intersections that will have temporary closures over the next several months include Chandler at Tujunga, Corteen, Bel Air. Partial closures will occur at Chandler and Laurel Canyon, Whitsett.

Mr. Sanchez asked the Board to begin their questions. Mr. Paterson asked for the purpose of the chain link fence along Chandler. Ms. Brown stated the law requiring environmental "clearance" for any construction project near a school. Ms. Brown was asked to comment on landscaping. She stated that MTA has met with citizen committees regarding landscaping and made some recommended changes. Ms. Brown asked the Board to refer to architectural drawings on display at the front of the room. A typical section of Chandler corridor was drawn and on display for the public. She stated that attendance from Valley Village was very helpful in the process, and that all recommendations were currently under review and final suggestions related to the feedback would be presented to the MTA in early to mid February.

Mr. Paterson asked if there was a drawing of station design? Ms. Brown said yes. Mr. Paterson asked if stations would look like The Gold Line. Ms. Brown said no. Mr. Paterson asked that the Board be given a copy of the architectural plans. He also asked for information about the irrigation system, reminding Ms. Brown that irrigation has been specifically requested by the citizen committee. Ms. Brown replied that the original plan was a permanent irrigation system at stations, and no irrigation in between. Feedback from the community has been completed and the process to issue a change order to tell the contractor to put in an irrigation system has been started. This has not yet been approved but has the support for Third District Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky's office.

Ms. Hull asked for two minutes to address the issue of pedestrian, jogging and bike paths. She stated that these issues are very important to the constituency she represents, and shared specific statistics related to each. She also asked for information on plans related to landscaping, water fountains, sidewalks along Chandler Boulevard right-of-way, and parking along same.

Mr. Sanchez asked that Ms. Brown answer each – related to irrigation he asked for a report back from Ms. Brown. Water fountains installed? No. Bike paths and sidewalks? The length of street along Chandler before Whitsett will have striped-on-street bike paths. This is due to limited right of way along Chandler just in Valley Village. East of Ethel, the bike path will move from the roadway. Related to pedestrian traffic, the MTA staff are working with LADOT to install sidewalks along all of Chandler Boulevard, with most improvements on the north side.

Mr. Pool asked about the intersection of Laurel Canyon and Chandler, related to parking availability for the proposed station. Ms. Brown stated there will be no additional parking. Will there be restroom facilities? No. Mr. Pool stated that parking for the bus service and businesses in the area is inadequate now, and will only become worse when the system is operational. Ms. Brown added that this is a system designed to be "connecting" bus service, and that parking is available at the North Hollywood station.

Mr. Paterson said that the Neighborhood Association will be exploring legal action to require public facilities, especially for seniors and those who are disabled. He asked for further clarification on the dimensions of Chandler – roadway vs. landscaping. Ms. Brown replied that the width of Chandler Boulevard is 60 ft, the busway is 26 ft, and the difference would be landscaped.

Dr. Wiseman asked for specific information related to pedestrian crossing for Chandler Boulevard. All existing crossings will be maintained, and additional pedestrian crossings will be added at Agnes, Goodland and Lakehorn.

Mr. Braswell asked why the palms scheduled for removal along Chandler were not removed on schedule. The schedule has been delayed to the first week of February.

Mr. Hatfield asked if MTA would coordinate with CRA on pedestrian issues? Yes. There are also preliminary plans to renovate the train station near North Hollywood Rail station.

Mr. Sanchez asked for Public Comment. Dr. Wiseman introduced Rabbi Block, who gave a statistical overview of the important needs of the orthodox Jewish community, including statistics. He stated that there are 1000 orthodox families in Valley Village, many of whom fought against the installation of the bus service. Strong concern was voiced related to the impact of the busway on property values, ability to observe religious practices, families with children, and overall sense of community. There are 12 synagogues in Valley Village, average family has 4-5 children, and much of the community is split evenly between North and South Chandler, requiring multiple safe crossing opportunities. Rabbi Block felt that the community concerns have not been heard by MTA. Ms. Brown offered to meet with Rabbi Block and offered her name and contact information for him.

A concern was raised by a resident from Hesby & Bel-Air that there is insufficient lighting along Chandler. Will there be new lighting installed? No, only lighting improvements will be at the actual stations.

Ms. Gomez stated that the city of Los Angeles has a current contract with “Viacom” to install public facilities in the areas of bus service that do not have them. These are public pay facilities, that are self maintained, and can be installed by request of NCVV at no cost to the community. Further, the styles and décor can be designated by the community. Mr. Braswell asked that a presentation be given in the February meeting by this company, and Mr. Sanchez approved the request. Ms. Gomez and Ms. Ippoliti were asked to coordinate the presentation.

Public questions – does the right of way that exists currently include the space for the new bike paths? Yes. Will there be parking? Parking will be available at Van Nuys & Oxnard, Sepulveda & Victory, Victory & Balboa, Resada & Oxnard. There will also be parking at Werner Center. A study is underway to add a new parking structure at the Red Line Station.

Ms. Dinkin stated that there is strong concern from the Neighborhood Association regarding the crossing lights (pedestrian) and the inability of orthodox Jewish community to press the crossing signal activation buttons on Sabbath and Holy Days. Ms. Brown stated that timers could be installed. Ms. Dinkin reminded Ms. Brown that only three intersections currently have timers.

Ms. Ippoliti offered to contact Bill Show with the city's Engineering Department to be certain this issue was addressed.

Mr. Sanchez asked that public comment and agenda item 6 be concluded. He thanked Ms. Brown for her presentation and asked that she leave the architectural drawings for the Board and public to view after the meeting.

Agenda Item 7 – Carwash Presentation, Board and Public Comment. A carwash has been proposed for a lot located at Laurel Canyon and 101. Representing the owner of the property was Mr. Ben Resnick, Esq., a land use attorney for the owner. Mr. Resnick was given 10 minutes to present to the Board. An overview was presented of the history of the site, including the past use as a gasoline station as well as a breakdown of current zoning for the property and adjacent property.

Ms. Harner joined the Board at 7:50 pm.

NCVV was asked by Mr. Resnick to support the proposed use of the property by the owner as a hand wash with limited mechanical support. It was stated by Mr. Resnick that many issues related to sound, water, parking, traffic flow, and others, had been addressed at Community Planning level with commissioners. Information was given to the Board related to potential volume of customers, sound walls, addition of a left turn lane on Laurel Canyon, and restricted access for entry and exit.

Mr. Sanchez asked the Board for questions. Mr. Pechin stated that the traffic at this location is already difficult, and asked for clarification on how this has been addressed by the owner's proposal. Mr. Resnick referred NCVV members to several traffic and business flow handouts he distributed.

Mr. Sanchez reminded the Board that there was an action item on the table for discussion, as the request had been formally made for NCVV Board to support the use of the land for a commercial car wash.

Mr. Braswell asked if the residential home still exists at the corner of the property. Yes. Has funding been secured for the project? Yes. Mr. Paterson asked what the source of the funding was? Rough breakdown was given by Mr. Resnick, including private financing and public SBA loan. Ms. Hull expressed a concern about noise from the carwash and traffic pattern for cars trying to access the lot, particularly those turning left across Laurel Canyon. Mr. Paterson stated that this is a project that is prohibited by the specific plan for the area. Area planning commission approved the project but it is under

appeal from the Valley Village Homeowners Association. Mr. Paterson further stated that statements from the owner and counsel were misleading, and that C-4 zoning is clear and owner's interpretation of the zoning was incorrect. The city has the right to review and deny a carwash, based on the "real" issues of inconvenience for residents of Valley Village, and the impact on traffic flow. The Commissioners proposed no left turn on Laurel Canyon, but this proposal limits the access of residents of Valley Village, which the business states it intends to serve. Mr. Paterson further added that the historical use of a property cannot be used to approve the new use.

Mr. Pechin stated that the actual reason the prior business (gas station) closed was a lack of business. Mr. Hatfield asked for information on the economic viability of the new carwash. He stated that the Board would not want a business to fail at this location.

Mr. Pool asked if the underground fuel tanks had been removed from the property? Yes. He also asked if the Board were to vote affirmative, or negative, what would be the actual consequences? Mr. Resnick stated that the Board's decision is a recommendation. To answer Mr. Hatfield's question, Mr. Resnick stated that the maximum number of cars that could be washed in a day is 950. The business plan requires 250 cars per day to break even. The gas station had traffic of 1348 cars per day. And the owners have secured funding through a Small Business Administration Loan and private funding through Wells Fargo.

Mr. Katz asked if left turns could be limited or eliminated? Yes. How far is the egress from 101 entry? 150 feet.

Mr. Paterson stated that alternative uses for the property have been suggested – including public storage and senior housing. The owner has been unwilling to consider any alternatives for property use, and unwilling to consider the neighborhood concerns.

Dr. Wiseman asked about employee benefits (in light of the fact that the area has been impacted by the 100 day grocery employee strike). The owner, Laura Davidoff stated that there would be 25 employees who would have access to a benefits program.

Mr. Sanchez closed Board comment and asked for Public comment on the issues. There was none.

Mr. Sanchez pointed out that Tom Paterson has been involved with this issue on behalf of the Homeowners Association, and Mr. Paterson stated that he would recuse himself from any action on this issue.

Mr. Braswell asked if there had to be a vote at this meeting? No. Mr. Katz asked if action could be tabled and more detailed information from counsel for the owners and Homeowners Association be sent to NCVV Board members? Yes.

Mr. Sanchez asked Mr. Resnick to complete his presentation in two minutes. Mr. Resnick added that the owner has done community outreach, a business plan, and that the

interpretation of use for this property as stated by Mr. Paterson is incorrect. He further stated that the Valley Village specific plan is not being interpreted properly, that the project is low impact on traffic. Mr. Sanchez thanked Mr. Resnick for his presentation.

Mr. Sanchez asked the Board for their consideration of action on this item.

Dr. Wiseman asked if we could table the issue until the February meeting and asked that both sides of the issue present information to the full Board by mail.

Mr. Katz asked if one month delay would hurt the viability of the business. Mr. Resnick stated no.

Mr. Katz made a motion to table the issue for consideration at the February meeting. Dr. Wiseman seconded. The vote was 13 in favor, 0 against with 1 abstention.

Mr. Resnick was asked to send the information via email to Tony Braswell.

Agenda Item 8 – Treasurer’s Report. Three financial items were presented by Mr. Hatfield. First, the NCVV Board is required to participate in financial training. This was done by Dr. Wiseman and Mr. Hatfield, and is completed. Second, the Board must pass a budget resolution. Third, financial forms must be filed with the city to initiate the inflow of funding for use by NCVV.

The initial funding will be split between debit card and check requisitions. Up to \$1000 per transaction can be requested by debit card.

Funds total \$50,000 in the year, and should be requested in \$12,500 increments. Funds can roll over quarterly, but must be expended by year end and cannot be rolled over into a new year.

The Budget must be divided between General Funds and Community Projects. Any Community Project must have a specific budget. The Board should pass an initial budget resolution of \$12,500 at this meeting.

Signature authority will rest with two persons, a primary and secondary signatory.

The Board will be given one debit card, and as a body must determine which members are authorized to use the card.

Mr. Hatfield made a motion that a budget be approved for \$12,500 for the first quarter of the year, split between General Funds and Community Projects. Ms. Hidey seconded. The motion was approved 14-0. Mr. Paterson asked if we could change the allocation later? Yes.

Ms. Hull asked if the Board could purchase business cards? Not with these funds according to Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Hatfield.

Mr. Fitzgerald stated that a formal budget must be completed and presented as an agenda item for approval by the Board. This could be done at the February meeting. Mr. Hatfield was asked by Mr. Sanchez to produce the budget as requested by the Board.

Dr. Wiseman asked to introduce Maria Chong Castillo, Deputy in the office of Third District Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky. She stated there would be a meeting January 31, 9am – 12 noon in the district office. Thanked all of the Board for their involvement and commended the community attendance at the meeting.

Agenda Item 10 – Brown Act Training, Form 700 Compliance. While Mr. Hatfield was presenting, Mr. Sanchez asked that Mr. Fitzgerald give an update on these two issues. Brown Act Training dates have been sent to the Board. There has been no action by the City Council on Form 700 Compliance so there is no new information to share.

Mr. Sanchez thanked Mr. Hatfield for his report and Mr. Fitzgerald for his update.

Agenda Item 9 – Board Liaison and Committee Assignments. Mr. Sanchez clarified his intent for Board Committees, stating that he does not intend for them to be “Board heavy”. His desire is for active participation of community and neighborhood members in these committees. This would better utilize time of Board members, and give valuable Board process exposure to the community. Each Board member should identify one or two issues in which they have interest and to which they will commit to keep the Board informed. Also he asked that Board members not take on multiple committees at this time. Finally he reminded the Board to consider the constituency they represent as they select a committee assignment.

Liaison assignments are different. Mr. Sanchez stated he received volumes of mail from all city areas, and asked that the Board take on one particular area of responsibility to report back to the Board. He gave the example of David Mays’ involvement with city Public Works and the need for a Board contact between NCVV and Public Works.

Mr. Paterson asked if DONE could provide a master list of City services? Yes. Mr. Sanchez began to review possible city liaison opportunities.

Mr. Pool – North Hollywood Police Station and Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Simen – Bylaws.

Mr. Pechin – CalTrans.

Ms. Hidey – Community Development.

Mr. Katz – Community Redevelopment.

Ms. Harner – Cultural Affairs.

Ms. Hatfield – Department of Aging.

Mr. Paterson – Building Safety and Community Planning.

Ms. Reiner – Public Works.

Dr. Wiseman – Water and Power (DWP).

Mr. Pool – Fire Department.

Mr. Katz – LA World Airport.

Mr. Hatfield – Matching Funds.

Ms. Reiner asked that the Board consider a logo for NCVV. A proposed logo was developed by Ms. Ellen Kay, graduate of CSUN. The logo was distributed and a brief explanation was given by Ms. Reiner. Mr. Paterson stated that any motion to approve should include Ms. Reiner's explanation of the logo. Ms. Hatfield asked that if the logo were adopted, it be clearly stated where it would be used (e.g. business cards, stationery).

Ms. Hatfield asked if the artist would need to be compensated? Ms. Reiner stated that compensation could be considered at \$20 per hour for 8 hours.

Mr. Sanchez asked that the issue be added to the February agenda. Dr. Wiseman added that the logo was well done and should be considered. Mr. Paterson asked if the artist could consider donation of the work.

Agenda Item 11 – Planning and Land Use. The item was tabled for February meeting by Mr. Sanchez. He asked that Mr. Paterson put the issue in writing for the Board prior to the February meeting.

Agenda Item 14 – Public Comment. Ms. Dinkin asked if a list of committee and liaison assignments could be sent to Homeowners Association.

No further public comments.

Agenda Item 13 – Future Agenda Items. Mr. Sanchez asked that Office Space discussion be added to the agenda of the February meeting.

Dr. Wiseman stated that NCVV has been added to the DONE website. Would be good to discuss at the February meeting how NCVV is listed on the site.

Mr. Pechin reminded the Board that we should invite security presentation at next meeting.

Ms. Hatfield reported that NCVV co-sponsoring of Electra Theater event raised \$625.00 for Senior Programs. She thanked the Board for their support.

Mr. Pool distributed information related to a benefit golf tournament for North Hollywood police station.

Agenda Item 15 – Chairman's Closing Comments. Mr. Sanchez thanked the Board for their hard work, the public for their attendance, and repeated his goal for NCVV to be in the top 10 Neighborhood Councils of the City.

Mr. Pechin made a motion to adjourn, Ms. Hidey seconded. Meeting was adjourned at 9:24.